

SMETA Corrective Action Plan Report (CAPR)

Version 6.1



	Αυ	dit De	tails				
Sedex Company Reference: (only available on Sedex System)	ZC: 417275287		Sedex Site R (only availabl System)		ZS: 41	7290791	
Business name (Company name):	Dongguan Yacai Cosi	metic	s Co.,Ltd.				
Site name:		Dongguan Yacai Cosmetics Co.,Ltd. 东莞市雅彩化妆用具有限公司					
Site address: (Please include full address)	Room301,Building3,No Kuiqing Road,Qingxi Town, Dongguan ,Guandong. 广东省东莞市清溪镇葵清 45号3号楼301室		Country:		Chino	1	
Site contact and job title:	Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Ma	nager					
Site phone:	86-13928493290		Site e-mail:		15818	279452@163.com	
SMETA Audit Pillars:	□ Labour Standards	Safe	lealth & ty (plus ronment 2- r)	Environr 4-pillar	ment	⊠ Business Ethics	
Date of Audit:	November 16, 2021	•		•		•	

Audit	Com	nany	Name	2.	l oao.
Auuit	COII	ıbalıv	Name	α	LOUO.

Bureau Veritas CPS



Report Owner (payer): (If paid for by the customer of the site please remove for Sedex upload)

		Audit Con	nducted By			
Affiliate Audit Company	\boxtimes	Purchaser		Retailer		
Brand owner		NGO		Trade Union		
Multi– stakeholder			Combined Audit ((select all that appl	(y)	



Audit Content:

- (1) A SMETA audit was conducted which included some or all of Labour Standards, Health & Safety, Environment and Business Ethics. The SMETA Best Practice Version 6.1 (March 2019) was applied. The scope of workers included all types at the site e.g. direct employees, agency workers, workers employed by service providers and workers provided by other contractors. Any deviations from the SMETA Methodology are stated (with reasons for deviation) in the SMETA Declaration.
- (2) The audit scope was against the following reference documents

2-Pillar SMETA Audit

- ETI Base Code
- SMETA Additions
 - Universal rights covering UNGP
 - · Management systems and code implementation,
 - Responsible Recruitment
 - · Entitlement to Work & Immigration,
 - Sub-Contracting and Home working,

4-Pillar SMETA

- 2-Pillar requirements plus
- Additional Pillar assessment of Environment
- Additional Pillar assessment of Business Ethics
- The Customer's Supplier Code (Appendix 1)
- (3) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (4) Any Non-Compliance against customer code shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.



SMETA Declaration

I declare that the audit underpinning the following report was conducted in accordance with SMETA Best Practice Guidance and SMETA Measurement Criteria.

- (1) Where appropriate non-compliances were raised against the ETI code / SMETA Additions & local law and recorded as non-compliances on both the audit report, CAPR and on Sedex.
- (2) Any Non-Compliance against customer code alone shall not be uploaded to Sedex. However, in the CAPR these 'Variances in compliance between ETI code / SMETA Additions/ local law and customer code' shall be noted in the observations section of the CAPR.

Any exceptions to this must be recorded here (e.g. different sample size):

1. This audit includes elements beyond the scope of a Social Compliance Audit as defined by the APSCA Competency Framework. The association of the auditor's APSCA number with this report is limited to those elements outlined in the APSCA Competency Framework. APSCA makes no representations with respect to the auditor's competency to professionally evaluate compliance with any other audit elements.

Auditor Team (s) (please list all including all interviewers):

Lead auditor: Eric Yang APSCA number: CSCA21701113

Lead auditor APSCA status: Certified social compliance auditor

Team auditor: Cathy Li APSCA number: ASCA 21701143

Interviewers: Eric Yang, Cathy Li

APSCA number: CSCA21701113, ASCA

21701143

Report writer: Eric Yang

Report reviewer: Sophia Wang

Date of declaration: November 16, 2021

Note: The focus of this ethical audit is on the ETI Base Code and local law. The additional elements will not be audited in such depth or scope, but the audit process will still highlight any specific issues.

This report provides a summary of the findings and other applicable information found/gathered during the social audit conducted on the above date only and does not officially confirm or certify compliance with any legal regulations or industry standards. The social audit process requires that information be gathered and considered from records review, worker interviews, management interviews and visual observation. More information is gathered during the social audit process than is provided here. The audit process is a sampling exercise only and does not guarantee that the audited site prior, during or post–audit, are in full compliance with the Code being audited against. The provisions of this Code constitute minimum and not maximum standards and this Code should not be used to prevent companies from exceeding these standards. Companies applying this Code are expected to comply with national and other applicable laws and where the provisions of law and this Code address the same subject, to apply that provision which affords the greater protection. The ownership of this report remains with the party who has paid for the audit. Release permission must be provided by the owner prior to release to any third parties.



Audit Parameters

	Audit Parameters	i					
A: Time in and time out	Day 1 Time in: 9:30 Day 1 Time out: 17: 30	١	Day 2 Time in: Day 2 Time out:	Day 3 Time in: Day 3 Time out:			
B: Number of auditor days used:	Two auditors for one o	day					
C: Audit type:	Full Initial Periodic Full Follow-up Partial Follow-Up Partial Other If other, please define:						
D: Was the audit announced?	Announced Semi – announced: Window detail: weeks Unannounced						
E: Was the Sedex SAQ available for review?	☐ Yes ☐ No If No, why not: factory did not complete it						
F: Any conflicting information SAQ/Pre-Audit Info to Audit findings?	Yes No N/A If Yes , please capture detail in appropriate audit by clause						
G: Who signed and agreed CAPR (Name and job title)	Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Mc	nager					
H: Is further information available (if yes, please contact audit company for details)	☐ Yes ☑ No						
I: Previous audit date:	N/A						
J: Previous audit type:	N/A						
K: Were any previous audits reviewed for this audit	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A						
Audit attendance	Management	Work	er Representatives				
Avail difeliadince	Managemen	WOIK	ei vebieseilialises				

Audit attendance	Management	Worker Representatives			
	Senior management	Worker Committee representatives	Union representatives		
A: Present at the opening meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
B: Present at the audit?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No		



C: Present at the closing meeting?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
D: If Worker Representatives were not present please explain reasons why (only complete if no worker reps present)	N/A		
E: If Union Representatives were not present please explain reasons why: (only complete if no union reps present)	N/A (No union was es	stablished in the facto	ry)

Guidance

The Corrective Action Plan Report summarises the site audit findings and a corrective, and preventative action plan that both the auditor and the site manager believe is reasonable to ensure conformity with the ETI Base Code, Local Laws and additional audited requirements. After the initial audit, the form is used to rerecord actions taken and to categorise the status of the non-compliances.

N.B. observations and good practice examples should be pointed out at the closing meeting as well as discussing non-compliances and corrective actions.

To ensure that good practice examples are highlighted to the supplier and to give a more 'balanced' audit a section to record these has been provided on the CAPR document (see following pages) which will remain with the supplier. They will be further confirmed on receipt of the audit report.

Root cause (see column 4)

Root cause refers to the specific procedure or lack of procedure which caused the issue to arise. Before a corrective action can sustainably rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

See SMETA BPG Chapter 7 'Audit Execution' for more explanation of "root cause".

Next Steps:

- 1. The site shall request, via Sedex, that the audit body upload the audit report, non-compliances, observations and good examples. If you have not already received instructions on how to do this then please visit the web site www.sedexglobal.com.
- 2. Sites shall action its non-compliances and document its progress via Sedex.
- 3. Once the site has effectively progressed through its actions then it shall request via Sedex that the audit body verify its actions. Please visit www.sedexglobal.com web site for information on how to do this.
- 4. The audit body shall verify corrective actions taken by the site by either a "Desk-Top" review process via Sedex or by Follow-up Audit (see point 5).
- 5. Some non-compliances that cannot be closed off by "Desk-Top" review may need to be closed off via a "1 Day Follow Up Audit" charged at normal fee rates. If this is the case, then the site will be notified after its submission of documentary evidence relating to that non-compliance. Any follow-up audit must take place within twelve months of the initial audit and the information from the initial audit must be available for sign off of corrective action.
- 6. For changes to wages and hours to be correctly verified it will normally require a follow up site visit. Auditors will generally require to see a minimum of two months wages and hours records, showing new rates in order to confirm changes (note some clients may ask for a longer period, if in doubt please check with the client).



Corrective Action Plan

			Corre	ctive Action Plan -	- non-com	pliances			
Non- Compliance Number The reference number of the non- compliance from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	New or Carried Over Is this a new non- compliance identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is still outstanding	Details of Non- Compliance Details of Non-Compliance	Root cause (completed by the site)	Preventative and Corrective Actions Details of actions to be taken to clear non-compliance, and the system change to prevent re-occurrence (agreed between site and auditor)	Timescale (Immediate, 30, 60, 90,180,365)	Verification Method Desktop / Follow-Up [D/F]	Agreed by Management and Name of Responsible Person: Note if management agree to the non-compliance, and document name of responsible person	Verification Evidence and Comments Details on corrective action evidence	Status Open/Closed or comment
Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.1	NEW	It was noted that 7 out of 35 employees contacting yellow glue water and detergent in factory just wear medical mask rather than carbon activated mask, in addition, 15 out of 35 employees did not wear rubber.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that necessary personal protective equipment are provided to relevant employees and measures are taken to ensure that employees use such personal	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager		

				protective equipment appropriately.				
Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.2	NEW	It was noted that 10 out of 40 yellow glue water and detergent containers etc in the factory were not posted with a safety label.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all hazardous chemicals stored or being used in the factory are posted with safety labels.	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	
Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.3	NEW	It was noted that the factory did not provide occupational health checks (when they take the post, on post, leave the post) to all employees in workshop who were in contact with hazardous materials (eg. benzene).	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that regular occupational health checks are provided to all employees handling	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	

				hazardous materials, including when they take or leave the post.				
Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.4	NEW	It was noted that there was no anti- skidding device for the hook of 1 out of 2 cranes.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all hook are equipped with anti-skidding device.	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	
Safety and Hygienic Conditions No.5	NEW	It was noted that there was no antileakage facility (e.g. secondary container) in the workshop for 10 out of 40 buckets of hazardous chemical containers (eg. Yellow Glue water and detergent).	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that the anti-leakage facility (e.g. secondary container) is used for all hazard containers.	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	



Safety and Hygienic Conditions 6	NEW	It was noted that 2 out of 30 electric circuit in factory were exposed without insulation protective device.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that all electrical equipment are properly insulated to avoid hazard of electrical shock.	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	
Safety and Hygienic Conditions 7	NEW	It was noted that the factory could not provide the construction safety document (e.g. report or registration files of inspection for completed building construction projects) for one 5-storey building. As per stated by factory management, these buildings were completed in 2010 and with area of 7500 square meters.	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that management adopt practices and controls to ensure that construction safety documents (e.g. report or registration files of inspection for completed building construction projects) are	30	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	

61 4	
SM	ETA

				obtained for the building.				
Living Wages and Benefits No.1	NEW	It was noted that the factory's social insurance coverage was insufficient. According to the social insurance payment receipt provided by factory management, it was noted that only 21 out of 76 employees were provided with [pension, accident, unemployment, medical, maternity] insurance in November 2021. Remark: factory provided commercial insurance for 38 employees, with valid date from April 3, 2021 to April 2, 2022	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers ☐ Other – please give details:	It is recommended that factory management adopt practices and controls to ensure that employees receive all of their statutory welfare entitlements.	120	D	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	
Working Hours are not Excessive No.1	NEW	It was noted that 30 out of 30 sample population employees worked in excess of the	☐ Training ☐ Systems ☐ Costs ☐ lack of workers	It is recommended that factory management adopt	60	F	Agreed, Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	

CM	ETA
2141	

excess of 36		
overtime		
hours per		
month (i.e.		
64 hours) in		
May 2021,		
which was		
not in		
compliance		
with the		
legal		
requirement;		
• 10 out of 10		
sample		
population		
employees employees		
worked in		
excess of 36		
overtime		
hours per		
month (i.e.		
64 hours) in		
September		
2021, which		
was not in		
compliance		
with the		
legal		
requirement;		

Corrective Action Plan – Observations				
Observation	New or	Details of Observation Details of Observation	Root cause	Any improvement actions discussed
Number	Carried Over		(completed by the site)	(Not uploaded on to SEDEX)



The reference number of the observation from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	identified at the follow-up or one carried over (C) that is		
10B4.10		There was no SAQ completed by factory.	

Good examples			
Good example Number The reference number of the good example from the Audit Report, for example, Discrimination No.7	Details of good example noted	Any relevant Evidence and Comments	
Nil			



Confirmation

Please sign this document confirming that the above findings have been discussed with and understood by you: (site management) If actual signatures are not possible in electronic versions, please state the name of the signatory in applicable boxes, as indicating the signature.				
A: Site Representative Signature:	Mr. Lai Miaoxiong/Manager	Title : Manager		
		Date : November 16, 2021		
B: Auditor Signature:	Eric Yang, Cathy Li	Title : Auditor		
		Date : November 16, 2021		
C: Please indicate below if you, the site management, dispute any of the findings. No need to complete D-E, if no disputes.				
D: I dispute the following numbered non-compliances:				
Nil				
E: Signed:		Title		
(If <u>any</u> entry in box D, please complete a signature on this line)		Date		
F: Any other site Comments:				

Guidance on Root Cause

Explanation of the Root Cause Column

If a non-compliance is to be rectified by a corrective action which will also prevent the non-compliance re-occurring, it is necessary to consider whether a system change is required.

Understanding the root cause of the non-compliance is essential if a site is to prevent the issue reoccurring.

The root cause refers to the specific activity/ procedure or lack of activity/procedure which caused the non-compliance to arise. Before a corrective action can rectify the situation, it is important to find out the real cause of the non-compliance and whether a system change is necessary to ensure the issue will not arise again in the future.

Since this is a new addition, it is not a mandatory requirement to complete this column at this time. We hope to encourage auditors and sites to think about Root Causes and where they are able to agree, this column may be used to describe their discussion.

Some examples of finding a "root cause"

Example 1

Where excessive hours have been noted the real reason for these needs to be understood, whether due to production planning, bottle necks in the operation, insufficient training of operators, delays in receiving trims, etc.

Example 2

A non-compliance may be found where workers are not using PPE that has been provided to them. This could be the result of insufficient training for workers to understand the need for its use; a lack of follow-up by supervisors aligned to a proper set of factory rules or the fact that workers feel their productivity (and thus potential earnings) is affected by use of items such as metal gloves.

Example 3

A site uses fines to control unacceptable behaviour of workers.

International standards (and often local laws) may require that workers should not be fined for disciplinary reasons.

It may be difficult to stop fines immediately as the site rules may have been in place for some time, but to prevent the non-compliance re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change.

The symptom is fines, but the root cause is a management system which may break the law. To prevent the problem re-occurring it will be necessary to make a system change for example the site could consider a system which rewards for good behaviour

Only by understanding the underlying cause can effective corrective actions be taken to ensure continuous compliance.

The site is encouraged to complete this section so as to indicate their understanding of the issues raised and the actions to be taken.





For more information visit: <a>Sedexglobal.com

Your feedback on your experience of the SMETA audit you have observed is extremely valuable. It will help to make improvements to future versions.

You can leave feedback by following the appropriate link to our questionnaire:

Click here for Buyer (A) & Buyer/Supplier (A/B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=riPsbE0PQ52ehCo3lnq5lw_3d_3d

Click here for Supplier (B) members:

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=d3vYsCe48fre69DRgIY_2brg_3d_3d

Click here for Auditors:

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/BRTVCKP